FUmanoid Team Description Paper 2010

Bennet Fischer, Steffen Heinrich, Gretta Hohl, Felix Lange, Tobias Langner, Sebastian Mielke, Hamid Reza Moballegh, Stefan Otte, Raúl Rojas, Naja von Schmude, Daniel Seifert, Daniel Steig, and Thomas Weißgerber

Institut fur Informatik, Arbeitsgruppe Künstliche Intelligenz,
Freie Universität Berlin, Takustr. 9, 14195 Berlin, Germany
http://www.fumanoids.de

Abstract. This document gives an overview about a new hard- and software design of a humanoid robot which was developed for RoboCup 2009 in Graz. For upcoming RoboCup competitions in 2010 the FUmanoids participate with an improved system based on last years achieved results. The mechanical structure of the robot is a self made construction with a total height of 59cm, including 21 actuated degrees of freedom based on Dynamixel RX28, and RX64 servos. Central Processing, including Machine vision, Planning and control is performed using a Gumstix Overo which is an ARM based 600MHz platform. Planning algorithms are organized in a new structure called Concurrent Scenario based Planning (CSBP). This paper explains the software and hardware used for the robot as well as control and stabilization methods developed by our team.

1 Introduction

Humanoid robots have many potential applications, which make this area very attractive for researchers. However many of the yet developed humanoids suffer from over-designed and too complicated hardware and software which is still far from the human model. The FUmanoid project was started in 2006 in the Artificial Intelligence group at Freie Universität Berlin, which has had a successful history in RoboCup for many years with the FU-Fighters team. In its first year it has shown an excellent performance by winning the 3rd place in the humanoid league in kidsize class, presenting the lightest and the least expensive football playing robots in its class. This result was surpassed in 2009 by winning second place with a new hard- and software design. This was achieved by improving several solutions, which will be explained briefly in this paper. The FUmanoid project is a step towards research and development of robots which offer more real human-interaction, can perform tasks in our environment and will be able to play an important role in our daily life.

2 Hardware Design

2.1 Mechanical Structure

The actuators used in the FUmanoid robots is the Dynamixel servo motor family produced by Robotis Inc. Korea. The motion mechanism consists of 21 degrees of freedom distributed in 7 per leg, 3 per arm and one degree of freedom moving the head horizontal.

Knee joints were considered to bend in both directions for 2009 competitions, which help faster response of the robot in backward walking. This property can however be limited via software. Efforts have been made to hold the proportions as human like as possible. Table 1 illustrates the physical measurements of the robot. To facilitate exchange of the players, all robots use mechanically the same structure.

Quantity	Value	Unit
Overall Height	60	cm
COM Height	45	cm
Weight	4320	G
Leg Length	33	cm
Foot Area	120	cm^2
Arm Length	25	cm
Head Length	10	cm

Table 1. Physical measurements of the robot

2.2 Actuators

The actuators used in FUmanoid robots are "Dynamixel AX-28" and "Dynamixel RX-64" servomotors, produced by Robotis Inc. Each actuator has its own microcontroller which implements adjustable position control using potentiometer position feedback. It also calculates many other parameters such as rotation speed and motor load which can be accessed through a single-bus, high-speed serial communication protocol. This facilitates the construction of an extendable network of motors which can be individually accessed and controlled by a single microprocessor. The parameters of the actuators used in FUmanoid robots are summarized in table 2.

2.3 Sensors

Due to the single bus structure, almost every type of sensors can be easily integrated with the hardware. The robot is equipped with following sensors:

	Weight g	Gear Ratio	Max Torque kgf.cm	Speed sec/60°	Resolution degrees
Dynamixel AX-64	125	1 : 200	64.4(@15V)	0.188	0.35
Dynamixel RX-28	72	1 : 193	28.3(@12V)	0.167	0.35

Table 2. Characteristics of the servomotors used in FUmanoid Robots

Pressure sensors Measurements from pressure sensors are used as a feedback to synchronize the walking with the mechanical properties of the robot and also to stabilize dynamic motions such as kick and standup.

Actuator feedback The feedback of the actuators includes the current joint angle, the current motor speed, and the load. Because all of this values are derived from the only feedback sensor of the actuators (the position potentiometer), the latter two values are less reliable. There are also other measured values which can be accessed through Dynamixel serial interface, such as supplied voltage and temperature, which can be used for safety purposes. Joint position measurement is very helpful in stable gait generation for the robots.

Visual feedback The robot is equipped with 1 cameras which can cover a full range of 180 x 90 degrees.

IMU sensor A 5 axis IMU is used in the robots for two purposes, first to help stabilization of walking and second to calculate camera perspective in order to obtain localization data.

2.4 Processors and communications

Each Robot has a Gumstix verdex pro XL6P on board. The Processor is a Marvell® PXA270 with XScale^{TM} running at 600MHz. The gumstix motherboard has several interesting features which make it ideal as a brain for humanoid robots. These include low weight and power consumption, direct camera connection and easy extension. All hardware units including motors and sensors are connected to the main processor via an RS485 bus. Each unit has a unique ID for packet identification. A broadcasting ID can be used to send the same data packet to all existing units on the bus.

3 Software Design

Fig. 1 shows the block diagram of the software which runs on the robot's main processor. The main blocks of the program are:

Hardware Interface Contains all low level routines to access hardware of the robot including sensors and actuators.

Vision and Localization Contains camera handling and image processing algorithms such as recognition of landmarks and other object. Self localization is done using particle filtering. Particles are scored by comparing a simulated

Fig. 1. Structure of the control software

image from each particle with the current frame captured by the camera. Using a "Sampling-Importance Resampling" method, a new distribution of the particles is created after each step. Particles are also updated using a motion model. Final distribution of the particles converges to the real pose of the robot.

Planning Planning system of the robot is based on a multi-layer, and multi-thread structure. The layers are named Strategy, Role, Behavior and Motion. Each layer contains a Scenario which runs in parallel with the scenarios in the other layers. A scenario in a higher level can terminate and change the scenario running in the lower level; however it is usually done in synchronization with the lower level scenario to avoid conflicts and instabilities. (such as stopping the walking motion while one of the feet is still in the air).

Network Mainly responsible for the wireless communication of the robot with the other robots or the referee box. This is done via WLAN.

4 Stabilization and Control

Stabilizing humanoid robots is a challenging subject which has attracted many researchers who have developed widely varying techniques. These techniques range from static COM methods to dynamic nonlinear control methods using multi-DOF of under-actuated inverted pendulum models. A different approach was developed for the biped walking stability problem by McGeer, who pioneered the idea of passive dynamic walking [2]. This approach which is both simple and direct has been followed by Collins, Wisse and Ruina and has been improved with different techniques to obtain remarkable 3D walking stability and speed [3].

Both 2D and 3D passive dynamic walkers receive their energy from changes in height of their COM as they walk down a shallow heel. Therefore the original passive walking is not suited to applications such as football playing in which the robot should not only walk on a level surface but also change its velocity and direction very often. To solve this problem, further researches have presented several methods of pumping energy into a passive walker such as torso control [4], active toes [5] and virtual gravity [6].

The aim of our biped walking research is to develop a walking technique which uses very limited sensory data (i.e. only joint angle and phase reset of the step) and provides stability over a wide range of velocities. To examine the present solutions and to be also able to study new ideas, a simplified model of the robot has been simulated with ODE[1] and its walking stability has been tested in simulations. Using this simulator, some new techniques have been introduced to improve walking stability and to control the walker.

However implementing the simulated control ideas in a real robot is as difficult as re-doing the whole work despite of the simulated results. This is because of the vast difference between the simulated and the real platform. Actuators used in most of the humanoid platforms are servomotors, which have normally a high grade of damping regarding the gear reduction ratio and have also strong limits in their maximum speed and/or applied torque. This is very disadvantageous as the energy of the COM is of great importance in passive dynamic walking. A direct torque control is also provided by almost none of the commercially available servomotors.

As a test, the friction of the ankle actuators of each foot was reduced by removing a gear from each, turning the ankles into low friction joints using only the position as sensory data. The data derived from this sensors are then used in the control program which finds the stance foot at each step and controls all active actuators regarding to the stance angle.

To have the passive walking controlled and supplied from the own energy of the robot, one should be able either to switch the actuators to act as passive free running and active in different walking phases or to decrease the stiffness of the servos and use them in a mixed way both as sensors and actuators. Using the later technique, stable walking at velocities up to 40cm/s has been achieved. Because several other necessary behaviors of the robot are fully active and mostly semi-static, a key-frame interpolator is developed and used to generate stable trajectories for different behaviors.

References

1. H. Moballegh, M. Mohajer, R. Rojas: Increasing foot clearance in biped walking: Independence of body vibration amplitude from foot clearance. In: L. Iocchi, H. Matsubara, A. Weitzenfeld, C. Zhou, editors, RoboCup 2008: Robot Soccer World Cup XII, LNCS. Springer, 2008.

---

[1] http://www.ode.org

2. McGeer, T. Passive dynamic walking. In: International Journal of Robotics Research 9(2):62-82. 1990.
3. S. H., Wisse, M., Ruina, A. A Three-Dimensional Passive-Dynamic Walking Robot with Two Legs and Knees, Collins, In: International Journal of Robotics Research, Vol. 20, No. 2, Pages 607-615, 2001
4. Haruna, M., Ogino, M., Hosoda, K. and Minour, A., Yet another humanoid walking - Passive dynamic walking with torso under a simple control In: Int. Conference on intelligent robots and system, 2001
5. Sven Behnke, Jürgen Müller, Michael Schreiber: Toni: A Soccer Playing Humanoid Robot. In: RoboCup 2005: 59-704.
6. Asano F. and Yamakita M. Virtual Gravity and Coupling Control for Robotic Gait Synthesis. In: IEEE Trans. on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, Part A: Systems and Humans, Vol. 31, No. 6, pp. 737-745, November 2001.